

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,889	08/08/2003	David C. Challener	RPS920010143US1	2488
25299 7590 11/05/2007 IBM CORPORATION PO BOX 12195 DEPT YXSA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			EXAMINER	
			BAYAT, BRADLEY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NECESIA TAMENOS TAMES, NO 27707			
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/637,889	CHALLENER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Bradley B. Bayat	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	<u>luly 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,35-40,47-52 and 59-64</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,35-40,47-52 and 59-64</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/e	ejected.				
Application Papers	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Drity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/8/2003.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been forwarded from the previous examiner to Primary Bayat. This communication is in response to restriction election by applicant filed July 11, 2007.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-15, 35-40, 47-52 and 59-64 in the reply filed on 7/11/2007 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/8/2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and therefore considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15, 35-40, 47-52 and 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiner, 2002/0040355 A1 in view of Davis, US Patent 6,362,745 B1.

- 1-11. Weiner discloses a system for receiving data regarding usage of a utility product at a plurality of remote locations, wherein said system comprises
 - a central computer system (Fig 1, central computer system of UPS),
 - a database accessed by said computer system (Fig 1, database 130),
 - a plurality of meters (Fig 1, utility meter 102), and

Art Unit: 3621

a communication network (Fig 1, communication network 120) connecting each meter within said plurality of meters with said central computer system to transmit data to said central computer system, said database stores a plurality of data records, each data record in said plurality of data records includes a meter identifier (0026, identifier/indicia) identifying a meter within said plurality of meters associated with said data record and a public cryptographic key of said meter, each of said meters includes data storage storing a private cryptographic key of said meter and a microprocessor accessing said data storage and programmed to encrypt a message with said private cryptographic key and to transmit said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, wherein said message includes an alphanumeric value together with a data value representing a measured usage of said utility product, over said communication network to said central computer system, information encrypted with said private cryptographic key is decrypted with said public cryptographic key, and said central computer system includes a processor programmed to receive said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, to decrypt with said public cryptographic key of said meter, said message encrypted with said private cryptographic key, forming a decrypted message, and to compare a version of said alphanumeric value from said decrypted message with unencrypted version of said alphanumeric value (0040-41, key cryptography, see detailed description of the invention 0034-46, also see Fig 4 and associated text).

Weiner does not explicitly disclose receiving a signal for tamper detection and identification mechanism. However, Davis teaches an electric meter tamper detection system for sensing removal of an electric meter from a corresponding meter socket and

Art Unit: 3621

for generating a tamper signal (see summary of the invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Weiner to include the improvements of Davis in order to further ensure flexibility for consumers in determining and having control over utility market as well as securing data access and tampering to effectuate changes in consumer purchase and integrity, as per teachings of Weiner and Davis.

Claims 35-40, 47-52 and 59-64 are directed to methods and computer-readable medium of the above system claims and are rejected accordingly.

Although the Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art(s) of record in the body of this action, the specified citations are merely representative of the teachings in the art as applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim. Since other passages and figures may apply to the claimed invention as well, it is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley B. Bayat whose telephone number is 571-272-6704. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/637,889 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley B. Bayat Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621